

## Health, Care and Housing Scrutiny Committee

---

Meeting Venue  
**Council Chamber - County Hall,  
Llandrindod Wells, Powys**

---

Meeting Date  
**Friday, 20 July 2018**

---

Meeting Time  
**1.30 pm**

---

For further information please contact  
**Lisa Richards**  
lisa.richards@powys.gov.uk



County Hall  
Llandrindod Wells  
Powys  
LD1 5LG

13 July 2018

---

The use of Welsh by participants is welcomed. If you wish to use Welsh please inform us by noon, two working days before the meeting

---

### AGENDA

<b>1.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>
-----------	------------------

To receive apologies for absence.

<b>2.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>
-----------	---------------------------------

To receive declarations of interest from Members.

<b>3.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF PARTY WHIPS</b>
-----------	------------------------------------

To receive disclosures of prohibited party whips which a Member has been given in relation to the meeting in accordance with Section 78(3) of the Local Government Measure 2011.

(NB: Members are reminded that under Section 78 Members having been given a prohibited party whip cannot vote on a matter before the Committee.)

<b>4.</b>	<b>MINUTES</b>
-----------	----------------

To authorise the Chair to sign the minutes of meetings held on 12 and 21 June as correct records.

(Pages 3 - 14)

<b>5.</b>	<b>EXEMPT ITEMS</b>
-----------	---------------------

The Monitoring Officer has determined that category 3 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules applies to the following items. His view on the public interest test (having taken account of the provisions of Rule 14.8 of the Council's Access to Information Rules) was that to make this information public would disclose information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).

These factors in his view outweigh the public interest in disclosing this information.

Members are asked to consider these factors when determining the public interest test, which they must decide when considering excluding the public from this part of the meeting.

<b>6.</b>	<b>REVIEW OF POWYS PEOPLE DIRECT, ADULT SOCIAL CARE</b>
-----------	---

To consider the report of the Portfolio Holder for Adult Services.

(To Follow)

<b>7.</b>	<b>WORK PROGRAMME</b>
-----------	-----------------------

To consider the scrutiny work programme.

(Pages 15 - 18)

<b>8.</b>	<b>CORRESPONDENCE</b>
-----------	-----------------------

To consider any items of correspondence which, in the opinion of the Chair, are of sufficient urgency to warrant consideration.

## MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE HEALTH, CARE AND HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE HELD AT COUNCIL CHAMBER - COUNTY HALL, LLANDRINDOD WELLS, POWYS ON TUESDAY, 12 JUNE 2018

### PRESENT

County Councillors G I S Williams (Chair), J Charlton, S C Davies, D E Davies, J Gibson-Watt, H Hulme, A Jenner, E Jones, MC Mackenzie, C Mills, P C Pritchard, K M Roberts-Jones, D Rowlands, E Vaughan, A Williams, J Williams and J M Williams

Cabinet Portfolio Holders In Attendance: County Councillors A W Davies, Portfolio Holder for Finance and S M Hayes, Portfolio Holder for Adult Services

Officers: Fay Smith, Community Safety Partnership Co-ordinator, Lee Anderson, Health and Social Care Change Manager and Sally Beech, Strategic commissioning Manager

<b>1.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>
-----------	------------------

Apologies for absence were received from County Councillors S Lewis, G Morgan and S Williams

<b>2.</b>	<b>ELECTION OF VICE CHAIR</b>
-----------	-------------------------------

**RESOLVED** that County Councillor J Charlton be elected Vice Chair for the ensuing year.

<b>3.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>
-----------	---------------------------------

There were no declarations of interest.

<b>4.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF PARTY WHIPS</b>
-----------	------------------------------------

There were no declarations of party whips.

<b>5.</b>	<b>CRIME AND DISORDER</b>
-----------	---------------------------

#### Documents:

- Community Safety Partnership Update

#### Discussion:

- The CSP Co-ordinator informed the Committee of the background and legislative framework for the CSP
- An Action Plan is in place which is reviewed on an 8 weekly basis by the CSP Strategy Group
- Some time ago the Wales Audit Office (WAO) carried out a review and made 7 recommendations the majority of which were for Welsh Government to implement together with Police and Crime Commissioners and Local Authorities. A WG oversight group was established and a

- further review carried out and additional recommendations made. There is no direct impact on the workings of the Powys CSP but a Safer Communities long term programme of work will be devised.
- The PREVENT programme relates to the prevention of terrorism. A pilot project had been trialled by the Home Office and it has been decided to have a staged roll out. The North West will now be the first area, with Wales anticipated to be the second tranche towards the end of 2018/19. . The Committee asked how the work would change and how information would be disseminated to schools or social services. The CSP Co-ordinator advised that there would be no change visible – PREVENT policies were already in place and any referral would be progressed through existing safeguarding arrangements and on to the Police where appropriate. It is proposed that the work currently undertaken by the Police will now be undertaken by local authorities and services within the Authority will be advised by the Local Authority Co-ordinator and not the Police as had been the case under previous arrangements. The Committee was concerned that funding may be in jeopardy once it had been transferred due to the financial situation in which the Council was operating. The CSP Co-ordinator informed the Committee that Crime and Disorder was not devolved but that the Welsh Government may make a bid going forward. Both Carmarthenshire and Swansea have offered to host the Local Authority Co-ordinator. The project sits under CONTEST which is a much bigger piece of work which changes rapidly. The Home Office have confirmed that funds will be moved across for the project and there is no end date for that funding.
  - A Rural Crime Partnership is now in place and is developing its terms of reference and objectives
  - County Lines has had coverage in the media recently. A multi-agency awareness group has been established alongside tactical groups. Although the police have dealt with such issues in the past, the remit is to be broadened. A Member commented that it was sometimes difficult to contact the Police and the Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs) seemed to be overloaded with work and asked whether services in the Council, such as Road Safety Unit, could offer assistance. The CSP Co-ordinator agreed to refer this to the Police and Crime Commissioner as it was recognised that the PCSO resource was becoming overstretched. Members were encouraged to contact the CSP regarding any quality of life issues and were referred to the Authority's website to access the neighbourhood management toolkit. A Member referred a local incident relating to County Lines and Cuckooing and expressed concerned regarding the relationship between young people and mental health. Recent Inspection reports had highlighted the gap in provision of services for 16 to 25 year olds and that this group were falling between provision for Childrens and Adults Services. The CSP Co-ordinator reported that focus within the Police had been on higher priority areas as Powys had seemed to be managing – now the focus would move to Powys. A Partnership presentation was to be made on Friday 15 June to raise awareness – copies of the presentations would be circulated to Members for information.
  - In relation to Community Cohesion it was noted that funding is awarded for teaching English as a second language but a similar provision was not made for Welsh. It was thought that equal status should be given to both

- languages. This would be reported back to the Community Cohesion Co-ordinator.
- Integrated Offender Management (IOM) has been successful in Powys in managing prolific and persistent offenders. Whilst this may be low level crime Powys was the first to lead in the model. WISDOM (Wales Integrated Serious and Dangerous Offender Management) has been rolled out across four police forces in Wales and deals with the management of high risk offenders. The pilot project has now been completed and WISDOM will be amalgamated under IOM.
  - VAWDA – the Authority has not met its statutory obligation to train 100% of its employees to the required level by 31 March 2018 but Management Team are actively addressing this. Members asked whether their online training had been included in these statistics. The Portfolio Holder for Finance reported that all Cabinet Members and depots had had training. National Guidance was awaited and then there will be a considerable amount of work to do. It was noted that Powys was further ahead than other authorities.

**Outcomes:**

- **The CSP Co-ordinator would refer issues regarding the workload of Police Community Support Officers to the Police and Crime Commissioner**
- **Copies of presentations regarding County Lines would be circulated to Members**
- **The Community Cohesion Co-ordinator would be advised of Members' concerns regarding the inequality of funding being provided to teach English as a second language but not for the teaching of Welsh as a second language**

<b>6.</b>	<b>ACCESS TO INFORMATION</b>
-----------	------------------------------

**RESOLVED to exclude the public for the following item of business on the grounds that there would be disclosure to them of exempt information under category 3 of The Local Authorities (Access to Information) (Variation) (Wales) Order 2007).**

<b>7.</b>	<b>DYNAMIC PURCHASING</b>
-----------	---------------------------

**Documents:**

- Report of the Head of Transformation for Adult Services – Reshaping the procurement of Home Care support through the use of a Dynamic Purchasing System

**Discussion:**

- The Portfolio Holder explained that the report would be presented to him for decision and that he would consider the points made by the Committee in making his decision – he did not intend to take an active part in the discussion in these circumstances

- The Adult Services Scrutiny Group had considered an earlier draft of the report and the Committee were now given the opportunity for final comments prior to the Portfolio Holder making a decision
- There were currently 830 people supported per week
- 11,500 hours approximately of care were delivered per week
- 23 current providers
- An in house service was the third largest provider and delivered approximately 1000 hours of care, predominantly in the south of the county – a decision has been taken by Cabinet to enhance the service provided by the in house service by extending into the north of the county.
- Currently all domiciliary care hours are let through spot contracts (hours bought on an individual basis) – when these contracts are combined the service becomes liable under EU contract regulations. The current system is not in line with EU requirements and there is a need to bring future domiciliary care commissioning back in line.
- A Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) is an electronic approved provider list which remains open allowing providers to join or leave the list at any time, or remain on the list but choose not to bid. The system does not guarantee any provider a set number of hours. It is anticipated that the list would remain open for a lengthy period, probably 10 years in the first instance.
- The benefit of a DPS is flexibility
- The proposal is to put three DPSs in place to further increase flexibility
- Each of the three DPSs (North Powys, South Powys (including Radnorshire) and Specialist Services) would each have a range of contracts underneath. Any provider could bid on any of the DPSs or any of the contracts underneath.
- A limit will be included on the maximum hours that can be awarded to any single provider to spread the risk to the council
- It is proposed to run a pilot scheme in the south to ensure that all systems are in place. A number of back office systems will need to change and the pilot will ensure that risk is minimized.
- No existing clients will be transferred and the DPS will only be used for new packages of care
- The in house service will provide the back up for those packages which are not bid for. It is expected that the in house service will become an interim service until a long term provider can be found for a client.
- Members asked how this would affect the reablement service. Eventually the service will move towards being a reablement domiciliary care service to increase clients' independence.

#### **County Councillor C Mills left the meeting at 11.40**

- The Committee sought assurance as to the diligence that would be used in ensuring that providers were fit for purpose. A number of quality thresholds will be used, such as skills and financial viability. Consideration is being given to building in feedback from clients and ways of evaluating competing packages. There will need to be scrutiny throughout the process. The Portfolio Holder informed the Committee that new regulations under the Registration and Inspection of Social Care Act (RISCA) had come into force which requires registration by companies

- and individuals. This had been introduced following a number of failures nationally and strengthens the regulatory regime.
- It was noted that the proposals were for a 10 year DPS but Members questioned whether this would address potentially increasing demand. Almost 75% of hours commissioned were for those over 75 years of age. In looking at demographics within the County there is a potential for a 7% drop in population over the next 20 years but an increase in the number of older people. The reduction in the numbers of working age to support an ageing population is one of the biggest challenges facing the Authority. There are challenges already in providing hours to some clients and this is not due to cost but to an inability to recruit. There is also a need to transform the service so that the number of hours required does not increase as quickly. The infrastructure is also being assessed to reduce pressure on the workforce – for example to reduce double handling. The Portfolio Holder commented that a key challenge for social care nationally was increasing demand and reducing resources. There were a number of initiatives to manage demand and so reduce the need for social care. The Welsh Government have issued a Plan for Health and Social Care in Wales following an all-party review. A key strand of the Plan is to manage demand and maintain individual's wellbeing without the need for formal support.
  - Members asked if those individuals in receipt of Direct Payments would be able to access the DPS. Anyone can apply for a direct payment to pay for their own care. It was acknowledged that a provider might charge less to the Council as more hours could be available than to an individual. Although individuals could not access the DPS they could form a co-operative and purchase care between them. Members were also informed that Direct Payments can now be used to pay family members and many thought that clients were not aware of this amendment.
  - The delays in obtaining packages, particularly in the north, were noted. It was hoped that the DPS would help alleviate some of these issues. Under the specialist services contract, consideration was being given to a home from hospital contract.
  - Members commented that there was concern amongst older carers regarding those they cared for should they become incapacitated. The Portfolio Holder reminded Members of the Message in a Bottle system that had been introduced. It was agreed that this information be recirculated to all members.
  - It was suggested that the warden service may not be used as efficiently as it might. The Portfolio Holder agreed to liaise with the Portfolio Holder with responsibility for Housing.
  - It was noted that existing care contracts would not come under the DPS and members asked whether they would be in breach of EU regulations and what the implications would be. The Authority is moving towards compliance and could be legally challenged but it was thought that this would be unlikely as no provider was likely to lose contracts under the new arrangements. EU legislation will become UK legislation until revised.
  - Could clients on existing packages choose to be recommissioned? Clients already have an option and this will not be removed. However, if there were to be only one provider in an area, choice would not

- necessarily be possible. It is hoped to stimulate the market but it won't be possible to guarantee a provider or carer of choice.
- The pilot would likely be in the south west of the County – this is the smallest geographic area and has four or five providers. This will give an opportunity to test all systems before being rolled out county wide. It is proposed that the pilot will last for three months, but this can be extended or shortened as appropriate.
  - The Committee asked how moving to a DPS system would increase capacity in the system. Although the Authority was working towards reducing demand there needed to be an increase in capacity in some areas. There needs to be a focus on developing some markets. The total number of hours of care delivered seems to be plateauing with a slight decrease in some areas. There are likely to be fewer care packages but those could be more complex. It will be possible to tailor what is needed in different localities. Time banding will also be introduced which will allow the workforce to be more flexible.
  - Would the increased flexibility enable the service to manage budget control? This is critical and will be closely monitored. The Portfolio Holder reported that care in mid Wales was fragile and local markets needed to be strengthened. It is hoped that the amendments to residential care fees will provide more opportunities for providers in that market.
  - It was suggested that services should not work in silos and that there should be liaison with the Regeneration Team regarding indigenous and new enterprises. In noting the pressures on the workforce, opportunities arise for training to develop a pool of talent. The Portfolio Holder agreed and informed the Committee that discussions with Higher Education providers were ongoing to tailor courses specifically for Powys' needs. PTHB is also developing GP practices to be training practices. If training is carried out in mid Wales, with placements available, there is more likelihood of retaining workers in the area.
  - The Chair asked whether limiting one provider to 45% of the market was too generous and should be lowered. There are 23 providers and it is hoped that more will be encouraged - one provider already has 42% of the market. If there was more control, there could be a challenge regarding constraint on trade.
  - The Health Authority are consulted and are willing to work with the Authority. Closer working will continue. Both organisations emphasize prevention and early intervention but if intervention is required, this should be provided as close to the clients as practicable.
  - The Lead Member of the previous ASC Scrutiny Group reported that that Group had considered an early iteration of the proposals and were concerned that financial modelling had been difficult.
  - DPS systems had been trialled in Ceredigion, Cardiff and Blaenau Gwent. The Committee asked if there had been any unexpected outcomes or difficulties and whether clients had been affected. Our clients should not notice any change in delivery of their service. There had been an issue in Cardiff around finances but work has been undertaken to ensure this does not happen in Powys. Providers will be monitored to ensure they remain sustainable.
  - The Committee requested that the results of the pilot project be considered by the Committee prior to it being rolled out across the County.



**Outcome:**

- **A further report on the pilot study be considered by Committee in the autumn**

<b>8.</b>	<b>WORK PROGRAMME</b>
-----------	-----------------------

The work programme was still under review following the restructure of scrutiny committees.

In the meantime, Members were asked to consider trialling meeting at different times to encourage attendance at meetings.

**RESOLVED that meetings remain at 10am to 1pm with the afternoons being held in reserve for additional items.**

<b>9.</b>	<b>CORRESPONDENCE</b>
-----------	-----------------------

There were no items of correspondence.

**County Councillor G I S Williams (Chair)**

This page is intentionally left blank

# Public Document Pack

Health, Care and Housing Committee – 21 June 2018

## MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE HEALTH, CARE AND HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE HELD AT COUNCIL CHAMBER - COUNTY HALL, LLANDRINDOD WELLS, POWYS ON THURSDAY, 21 JUNE 2018

### PRESENT

County Councillors G I S Williams, J Charlton, H Hulme, A Jenner, E Jones, C Mills, G Morgan, P C Pritchard, D Rowlands, E Vaughan, A Williams, J M Williams and R Powell

Cabinet Portfolio Holders In Attendance: County Councillor R Powell

Officers: Dylan Owen, Head of Transformation, David Johnston, Interim Lead, Children's Services, Scott McGregor, Business Manager, Adults Services and Wyn Richards, Scrutiny Manager

Other Officers In Attendance: Liz Patterson and Lisa Richards, Scrutiny Officers

<b>1.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>
-----------	------------------

Apologies for absence were received from County Councillors S C Davies, J Gibson-Watt, S Lewis, MC Mackenzie, K M Roberts-Jones and J Williams

<b>2.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>
-----------	---------------------------------

There were no declarations of interest.

<b>3.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF PARTY WHIPS</b>
-----------	------------------------------------

There were no declarations of party whips.

<b>4.</b>	<b>MINUTES</b>
-----------	----------------

**Documents:**

- Minutes of meeting held on 29 May 2018

**Outcome:**

- **The Chair was authorised to sign the minutes of the meeting held on 29 May 2018 as a correct record.**

<b>5.</b>	<b>DRAFT SOCIAL SERVICES ANNUAL REPORT</b>
-----------	--

**Documents:**

- Draft Director of Social Service's Annual Report

**Discussion:**

- It was noted that the Director of Social Services had not been in post for the year covered by the report.

- The year had seen a significant period of challenge with CIW Inspection reports for both Adults' and Children's Social Services
- Following the critical Children's Services Report an Improvement Plan was developed, implementation of which will be monitored by the Improvement and Assurance Board. The Board will also monitor the implementation of the Adults' Services Improvement Plan.
- The Service received significant support from corporate services and have had additional investment
- Whilst significantly improved performance is being achieved there is more work to do
- The report of the Director of Social Services is statutory and had originally been intended to report on what had been achieved and services provided. However at that time, little guidance had been issued, allowing individual authorities to develop their own report format. Under the Social Services and Wellbeing Act there is a requirement for a similar report to be produced but with a specific format. The report is now required to focus on improvements and support this with both qualitative and quantitative data. Consideration had been given to reporting Children's and Adults' Services separately but this did not provide clarity. Further consideration will be given to the report format for future years.
- The Scrutiny Committee were asked to provide balance and challenge and to make suggestions as to what might make the report more readable:
- Members suggestions:
  - The report needed to flow – there was too much 'jumping' around
  - Links to Performance Management were missing
  - Members questioned how they could test the viability of outcomes – ie quality of what we deliver and not just quantity? The Interim Lead for Children's Services informed the Committee that monitoring is undertaken by the Improvement and Assurance Board and that the minutes of that should be widely available. More transparency is required. There is also a need to build in more robust quality assurance in order to give Scrutiny more assurance. The Portfolio Holder confirmed the need to look beyond figures to assess quality and whether the right services were being provided. The Head of Transformation said that the actions matched those detailed within the Improvement Plan and monitoring will take place against that Plan.
  - It was essential that managers manage. It was acknowledged that the service had been through a challenging period, but managers must carry out the full extent of their duties. The Interim Lead, Children's Services indicated that a number of things needed to be put in place – firstly the workforce needed to be stabilized. Extra staff have been brought in to ensure the safety of children. A permanent and stable workforce was not yet in place but the service was working towards this. HR have developed a package to attract more staff. It is acknowledged that agency workers are more expensive. Secondly, placements need to be addressed. More foster carers have been recruited. The increase in Looked After Children (LACs) have been heavily reliant on out of county placements. The commissioning process must be strengthened to deliver in county services.

- Geographical positives could be highlighted
- The transitions from care leaver to adult social services could be highlighted
- Staff sickness absence is high and it was questioned whether this should be referred to in the report. A Panel has been set up to deal with stress related illness and this should be sufficiently flexible to include the Portfolio Holder and Head of Service from the area in question. Staff have been under significant pressure and absence is recognised as an issue. Teams will have more resilience once they are in place. The Chair of Employment Committee informed Members that a detailed report on sickness absence would be considered by Employment Committee in the autumn.
- Powys People Direct (PPD) showed some improvements but clarity was required regarding what further work was to be undertaken. PPD is under review, including the name, which did not reflect the service provided.
- Recovering relationships and the positive impact this is having should also be highlighted
- The priorities for the forthcoming year were not always clear
- There was too much jargon in the report – the report should be easily read by the public, including service users, and should not be aimed at professionals. The Head of Transformation agreed that jargon should be avoided. The audience for the report was County Council and the public via the website in addition to the Welsh Government and CIW.
- Members suggested that they needed some assistance in handling complaints from their constituents and how best to engage. Pilot studies had been carried out in some wards and the local Member had been unaware of the projects. It was accepted that this was an area for Member Development but that queries etc should continue to be logged with Member Support.
- There was no reference to day centres within the report – it was acknowledged that this had been confused by the use of different terminology
- The statistic relating to 90% of PPD clients not having called back within 6 months was not thought to be a worthwhile statistic. However, this was a statutory KPI, but it was not clear what had happened to that 90%. Officers were of the opinion that the re-referral rate of 10% was statistically low and there was further work being undertaken.
- The Active Offer is to be promoted 'where possible' - this was not considered to be sufficiently robust. Currently less than 10% receive the Active Offer. The service is only just beginning to measure this. It would not always be possible to deliver specialist services through the medium of Welsh.

County Councillor C Mills left the meeting at 11.15 am

- With regard to the case studies within the report, the Committee were of the opinion that one fit within the context of the report but the other one did not and consideration should be given to whether case studies enhanced the report. This comment has been made elsewhere and the inclusion of case studies will be reconsidered.

- Are children always assigned a qualified social worker? Any child's case will be dealt with or overseen by a qualified social worker.
- Support and assessment – what does this mean for those we are delivering to? Assessment had been a significant concern for the CIW. This has been addressed and is now up to date and clients are assessed in a timely manner. However, the performance rating is still classed as amber due to the provision of domiciliary care.
- There is no reference to feedback from the Safeguarding Group or Community Connectors. It was thought that there was insufficient information to be included in this report but this would be considered for future reports.
- Fostering was only mentioned in passing and it was suggested that the report should reflect the work undertaken in the last year which has led to an increase in approvals

**Outcome:**

- **The comments made would be considered for inclusion in the Director of Social Service's Annual Report**

<b>6.</b>	<b>WORK PROGRAMME</b>
-----------	-----------------------

The work programme was still under review following the changes to the committee structure. It would be circulated to Members as soon as practicable.

Members asked if additional training would be provided. The Scrutiny Manager confirmed that he was developing a training programme to cover the remaining term of the Council. One of the first topics to be addressed would be relationship issues between the Cabinet, Scrutiny and Officers.

<b>7.</b>	<b>CORRESPONDENCE</b>
-----------	-----------------------

There were no items of correspondence.

**County Councillor G I S Williams  
Chair**

Scrutiny date	Scrutiny Committee	Item	Cab/Manmt Team Date	Cab Date
Fri 20/07/18 (1.30-3.30)	HCH	Access arrangements (PPD)		
TBC	Joint Chairs and Vice-Chairs	Ian Bottrill and Rebecca David Knight - Scrutiny Development Strategic Equalities Plan		
Thur 19/07/18	LSE	HTR Transformation		
Fri 24/08/18	HCH	Social Care Perf Report (June 2018) Improvement Plans (2) - Topic TBA		
Wed 22/08/18	LSE	Home to School Transp (post consultation)	04/09/2018	18/09/2018
		Virtual Learning	04/09/2018	18/09/2018
		ALN update	04/09/2018	18/09/2018
		HAMP	04/09/2018	18/09/2018
Thurs 06/09/2018 (pm)	Audit (Seminar)	Final SOA and AGS		
Tue 11/09/2018	Joint Chairs and Vice-Chairs	CIP Performance Reports		18/09/2018
Thur 12/09/18 am	HCH	Social Care Perf Report (July 2018) Notes of Imp and Assurance Board		
		WHQS	25/09/2018	09/10/2018
Thur 12/09/2018 pm	Audit	Final SOA and AGS		
Fri 14/09/18	LSE	School budgets	25/09/2018	09/10/2018
		HOWPS Annual Report	25/09/2018	09/10/2018
		School AMP incl Admissions		
September	PSB	Steps towards 2040 Well-being Plan		
Wed 26/09/18	HCH	LAC strategy and Education of LAC		

Wed 03/10/18	HCH	Homelessness Strategy	23/10/2018	06/11/2018
Mon 01/10/18	LSE (Estyn attending to observe)	Standards incl LAC, attendance, exclusions, use of PDG Annual Estyn Inspection outcomes Youth Service restructure review		
Tue 9/10/18	Joint Chairs and Vice-Chairs			
Mon 15/10/18	HCH	Social Care Perf Report (August 2018) Notes - Improvement and Assurance Board		
Wed 17/10/18	LSE	Review of Pre-School provision HTR Transformation		
Wed 24/10/18	FSP	Budget		
Thur 01/11/18	HCH	Improvement Plans (3) - Topic TBA		
Fri 02/11/18	LSE	Fair Funding Review HTR Transformation ALN review	14/11/2018	28/11/2018
Tue 13/11/18	Joint Chairs and Vice-Chairs	CIP Performance Reports		28/11/2018
Fri 16/11/2018	Audit	Corp Tracker, Risk Register, AGS , Revised Business Continuity Policy		
November	FSP	Budget		
Thur 08/11/18	HCH	Social Care Perf Report (Sept 2018)		



Fri 09/11/18 (possibly won't run)	LSE			
Thur 22/11/18	HCH	Review of Daytime Activities OP Improvement Plans (2) - Topic TBA	04/12/2018	18/12/2018
	Audit	Improvement Plans (1) - Topic TBA		
Wed 21/11/18	LSE	HTR Transformation		
Mon 10/12/18	HCH	Crime and Disorder Social Care Perf Report (April 2018) BUPA Homes		
Tues 11/12/18	Joint Chairs and Vice-Chairs			
Thur 13/12/18	LSE			
Wed 19/12/18	FSP	Budget		
		<b>Requests to add to HCH:</b>		
		Reasons for admissions to care over last 12 months		
		Signs of Safety		
		Early Intervention and Prevention		
		Integrations options appraisal		
		ASC risk register		
		Modern slavery/county lines/child sexual exploitation (Member Development?) - PSB?		
		Safeguarding		
		Integrated Youth Support Service		
		Integrated Family Support Service		
		<b>Requests to add to LSE</b>		
		Schools budgets (november) - Joint meeting with Audit? Consider at July Joint Chairs		
		Youth Structure Review - March 2019		
		Skills and Employability		
		New SLAs - traded and non traded		
		<b>PSB</b>		
		Annual Report Wellbeing Plan March 2019		
		Adult Detocs		
		Continuing Health Care		

Mental Capacity Act - Deprivation of Liberty

FSP

Asset Disposals

**PLUS**

County Farms  
Property and Assets  
Trading Standards  
Environmental Health  
Economy  
Leisure  
Children's pre-Cabinet?  
SIP s (Education already programmed)  
Finance monitoring (Social Care already programmed)  
Perf monitoring (Social Care already programmed)  
AGS  
Internal Audit to be incorp to Audit quarterly